

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the allowance of claims 1-15, 17, 18, 25-38, 41-47, 49-58 and 60-74.

It is noted that claim 48 is listed as allowed, but it has previously been canceled. We also note that claim 45 is listed as allowed but is dependent upon rejected claim 8 and appears to have the same defect that caused the rejection of claim 8. As set forth below, we believe that the present amendment to claim 8 would also render claim 34 as allowable.

By this amendment, independent claims 8 and 12 have been amended to a form which, it is respectfully submitted, fully complies with the requirements of *In re Bilski*. Claims 37 and 58, which were rejected as being dependent on a canceled claim, have been canceled by this amendment.

Additionally, new claims 75 and 76, dependent upon claims 8 and 12, respectively, have been added to the application.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §101

Claims 8-14, 35, 44-45 and 55-56 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 on the grounds that the claimed invention is directed to nonstatutory subject matter under the logic of *In re Bilski*, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

Claims 8 and 12, which are the independent claims upon which the other rejected claims are based, have been amended to recite particular “machines or apparatus” as required to constitute patentable subject matter under §101, as set forth in *In re Bilski*. In particular, both claims 8 and 12 have been amended to specify that the video images are received at a personal computer based system, that the digitization of any of the images not already in digital form is performed using an analog-to-digital converter, that the digitized images are displayed on a personal computer based display device, and that the converted images are stored in a memory device.

It is respectfully submitted that these claims as amended, and their dependent claims, now fully comply with *In re Bilski*.

Claim 34, which was listed as allowed, is dependent upon claim 8 and is believed to be allowable as set forth above.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 37 and 58 stand rejected as being dependent upon canceled claim 16. By this amendment they have been canceled.

New Claims

Claim 75, dependent upon claim 8, and claim 76, dependent upon claim 12, have been added to the application. These claims distinguish from the prior art in the same way as their independent claims and further add particular limitations that distinguish from the cited art.

Reconsideration and allowance are accordingly respectfully solicited.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the fees filed, asserted to be filed or which should have been filed herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed in this application by this firm) to our Deposit Account No. 07-1180.

Dated: 6/22/09

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Allen M. Krass

Registration No.: 18,277

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON
& CITKOWSKI, P.C.

2701 Troy Center Drive, Suite 330

Post Office Box 7021

Troy, Michigan 48007-7021

(248) 647-6000

(248) 647-5210 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant